UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/520,869 | 01/11/2005 | Guofu Zhou | NL 020684 | 6502 |
| | 7590 10/09/200 LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | | VERDERAME, ANNA L | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 1795 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/520,869 | ZHOU, GUOFU | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | ANNA L. VERDERAME | 1795 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | | | ndonment of this | | | |
| application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| • | 26(a) and the appropriat | o outonoion foo | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS | | | appeal. Since a | | | |
| | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | |
| (c) 🖾 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the second of the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in provided to the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amended the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amended the new or amended claims would be rejected in proposed amended the new or amended th | | be entered and an e | kplanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The applicant argues that Nishihara et al. clearly teache and therefore effectively teaches away from the claims a (0070). However, the examiner points to the example 6 | s that the compositions of the two rus amended. Support for this claim I in table 6 where an optical record | ecording are different is said to be found at ling medium having to | from each other paragraph vo recording | | | |
| layers having the same composition is exemplified. Furt consideration/search. | iner this amendment has not been t | sintered, and would le | <u> June futitiet</u> | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | |

/Martin J Angebranndt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081008

Continuation of 3. NOTE: there are two new claims and the limitation proposed for claim 1 was not previously presented/considered...